RESOLUTION NO. 18-08-29

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA PROVIDING CERTAIN AMENDMENTS TO THE 20/20 APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA FOR THE PURCHASE OF PROPERTY THROUGH THE COUNTY'S 20/20 PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners (the "Board") is the governing body in and for Lee County, a political subdivision and Charter County of the State of Florida ("County"); and,

Whereas, on July 31, 1996, the Board adopted Lee County Ordinance No. 96-12 (amended by Ordinances 15-08, 13-09, 05-17) to implement the Lee County Conservation Land Acquisition and Stewardship Program (also known as the "20/20 Program"); and,

WHEREAS, The Lee Board of County Commissioners created a citizen advisory committee called the Conservation Lands Acquisition and Stewardship Advisory Committee ("CLASAC") to review and make recommendations on the selection of conservation lands submitted for acquisition through the Program; and,

WHEREAS, the Board previously adopted Lee County Resolution 04-09-51 confirming certain amendments to the Land Program Parameters and Land Selection Criteria developed by CLASAC; and,

WHEREAS, the Board now desires to confirm certain amendments to the 20/20 application review criteria.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of Lee County, Florida, that in consideration of the foregoing, the Board directs the following:

Section 1. The amendments to the 20/20 application review criteria, which are attached hereto as Exhibit A is hereby accepted, confirmed, and authorized for implementation by CLASAC and Lee County Staff.

Section 2. Nothing within this Resolution shall be deemed to restrict the Board's authority to purchase property pursuant to Lee County Charter and State law or the Board's

authority to designate, negotiate, or purchase property for purposes consistent with the 20/20 Program.

Section 3. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was offered by Commissioner Hamman, who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kiker, and, upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

John ManningAyeCecil L PendergrassNayLarry KikerAyeBrian HammanAyeFrank MannAye

DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of August 2018.

ATTEST: LINDA DOGGETT, CLERK

Deputy Clerk



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

BY: Cecil L Pendergrass, Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM FOR THE RELIANCE OF LEE COUNTY ONLY

County Attorney's Office

Exhibit A: Acquisition Review Criteria

CRITERIA		SCORE	COMMENTS
A. Size and Location		15	
Size of Property	>=500 acres	10	
Size of Property	400 to < 500 acres	9	
Size of Property	300 to < 400 acres	8	
Size of Property	200 to < 300 acres	6	
Size of Property	100 to < 200 acres	4	
Size of Property	50 to < 100 acres	2	
Size of Property	< 50 acres	0	
Contiguous to	Coastal waters/other sovereignty submerged land and/or an existing preserve area, c.e. wma or refuge	5	
Contiguous to	Preserve area officially proposed of acquisition	2	
B. Habitat for Plants and Animals		22	
Native Plant Cover	>= 75 % of the property has native plant cover	8	
Native Plant Cover	50% to < 75% has native plant cover	4	
Native Plant Cover	25% to <50% has native plant cover	2	
Native Plant Cover	< 25% has native plant cover	0	
Significant for wide-ranging species	Panther Habitat, wetlands, ponds, grass lands, etc.	2	
Rare and Unique Uplands	Scrub, hammock, old growth pine	2	
Rare and Unique Uplands	Mature, second growth pine flatwoods	1	
Diversity	5 or more FLUCFCS native plant community categories	2	
Diversity	3 or 4 FLUCFCS native plant community categories	1	
Diversity	2 or less FLUCFCS native plant community categories	0	
Mitigation	Projects with public mitigation credit potential	8	

CRITERIA C. Significant for Water Resources		SCORE	COMMENTS	
		50		
Serves or can serve as flow-way and provides flood protection	Site contains a primary flow-way, creek, river, wetland corridor; large contributing watershed; possibly identified in the Lee County Surface Water Master Plan, South Lee County Study, or by staff	5		
Serves or can serve as flow-way and provides flood protection	Site contains flow-way, through tributary, medium size watershed, or just a portion of system/one side of conveyance, may not be identified in study	4		
Serves or can serve as flow-way and provides flood protection	Site has potential for rehabilitation, restoration, creation, reconnection, or manipulation to restore	3		
Serves or can serve as flow-way and provides flood protection	Same as b., smaller watershed, not as defined, disconnected	2		
Serves or can serve as flow-way and provides flood protection	Site conveys runoff, minimal area	1		
Serves or can serve as flow-way and provides flood protection	Site entirely contained, no runoff	0		
Serves or can serve as flow-way and provides flood protection	Add 5 points if the parcel is within a designated FEMA floodway or otherwise provides floodplain protection	5		
Serves or can serve as flow-way and provides flood protection	Add 5 points if conveyance is natural (not man- made)	5		
Protect a water supply source	Parcel is within area designated high potential productivity of the Water Table, Sandstone or Tamiami Aquifer and also within area of current or proposed wellfield development	5		
Protect a water supply source	Not within above mentioned zones, but advantageous due to proximity to existing water supply facilities or identified as potential water storage area	3		
Protect a water supply source	No recharge or potential water supply opportunities	0		

CRITERIA		SCORE	COMMENTS
Improves Water Quality	Parcel is located in a watershed with an adopted Total Maximum Daily Load and has the ability to provide quantified and clear water quality benefits (e.g. Basin Management Action Plan load reduction credits)	30	
Improves Water Quality	Parcel is located in a watershed identified on the State's Verified List of Impaired Waters and has the ability to provide quantified and clear water quality benefits	15	
Improves Water Quality	Parcel may provide water quality benefits but there is little or no ability to provide quantification of benefits	10	
Offset Damage to or Enhance Water Quality	No existing or potential water quality benefits	0	

EXHIBIT A

CRITERIA D. Recreation/Land Manageability		SCORE	COMMENTS
		13	
Recreation/Eco-Tourism Potential	Score depends upon the variety or uniqueness of potential public uses. Examples of appropriate public uses include paddling sports, fishing, hiking, equestrian, mountain biking, photography, nature study, and environmental education about native plants and animals, eco-archaeological resources, land restoration, etc. (Max of 2)	2	
Land Manageability	75% or greater of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact land uses	3	
Land Manageability	50%-75% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact land uses	2	
Land Manageability	25%-50% of the perimeter of site is surrounded by low impact land uses	1	
Land Manageability	Less than 25% of the perimeter of the site is surrounded by low impact land uses	0	
Land Manageability	Good potential for panther, wood stork, gopher tortoise or bonneted bat mitigation	2	
Land Manageability	Project has potential to hold more water on the land through restoration efforts; Small scale alterations needed (exotic plant removal or livestock removal)	3	
Land Manageability	Project has potential to hold more water on the land through restoration efforts; Small scale earthwork: ditch plugs, berm breaches	2	
Land Manageability	Project has potential to hold more water on the land through restoration efforts; Large scale earth moving projects	1	

EXHIBIT A

ACQUISITION REVIEW CRITERIA

CRITERIA		SCORE	COMMENTS	
Land Manageability	Fire dependent communities and ability to use prescribed fire; No immediate Wildland Urban Interface issues or non fire dependent communities	3		
Land Manageability	Fire dependent communities and ability to use prescribed fire; 1 side has Wildland Urban Interface issues	2		
Land Manageability	Fire dependent communities and ability to use prescribed fire; 2 or more sides have Wildland Urban Interface issues	1		
	TOTAL POINTS	100	Maximum Points = 100	

COMMENTS

YYYY-MM-DD

Staff Comments:

YYYY-MM-DD

CLASAC Comments: